



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/063,958	05/29/2002	Akira Koseki	JP920010018US1	2376		
47049 FERENCE & A	7590 02/27/2007 ASSOCIATES		EXAM	EXAMINER		
409 BROAD STREET PITTSBURGH, PA 15143			KENDALL, CHUCK O			
PHIISBURGH	, PA 15143		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
			2192			
•	•					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			02/27/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/063,958	KOSEKI ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Chuck O. Kendall	2192	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff office of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply much	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		e i de latas da
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	I36(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	te extension fee ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>	ow); tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	):	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ winded below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-17.			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-17.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allows	nce hecause:
See Continuation Sheet.			noo booduso.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		



Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 8 - 10, as previously rejected are still non statutory subject matter. As an apparatus which contains merely software is still software per se. The apparatus as claimed doesn't disclose if it is being implemented with hardware and hence the claims are still software per se.



2110/07